

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEOVANNY D. LOBDELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIV. NO. S-96-1599 LKK/JFM

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; SACRAMENTO
COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS;
GLEN CRAIG, individually and in
his official capacity as Sheriff
of the Sacramento County Sheriff's
Department; AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
INC.,

Defendants.

KATHERINE R. MARTIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIV. NO. S-96-2149 LKK/JFM

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; SACRAMENTO
COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS;
GLEN CRAIG, individually and in
his official capacity as Sheriff
of the Sacramento County Sheriff's
Department; AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
INC.,

Defendants.

1 CASEY S. BARTON,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

CIV. NO. S-96-2150 LKK/JFM

4 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; SACRAMENTO
5 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS;
6 GLEN CRAIG, individually and in
7 his official capacity as Sheriff
of the Sacramento County Sheriff's
Department; AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
INC.,

8 Defendants.
9 _____/

10 ANTHONY JACOBS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

CIV. NO. S-96-2242 LKK/JFM

13 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; SACRAMENTO
14 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS;
15 GLEN CRAIG, individually and in
16 his official capacity as Sheriff
of the Sacramento County Sheriff's
Department; Deputy STEVEN C. MOBLEY
Badge No. 921; Deputy MICHAEL D.
DUNBAR Badge No. 246; AEDEC
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

17 Defendants.
18 _____/

19 DUANE LEE KREGGER,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

CIV. NO. S-97-167 LKK/JFM

22 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; GLEN
23 CRAIG, individually and in his
24 official capacity as Sheriff of
the Sacramento County Sheriff's
Department; AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
INC.,

25 Defendants.
26 _____/

1 RONALD W. MOTZ,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

CIV. NO. S-97-463 LKK/JFM

4 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; GLEN
5 CRAIG, individually and in his
6 official capacity as Sheriff of
7 the Sacramento County Sheriff's
8 Department; AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
9 INC.,

10 Defendants.

11 _____/
12 KIMBERLY MICHELE BYRD,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

CIV. NO. S-97-464 LKK/JFM

15 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; GLEN
16 CRAIG, individually and in his
17 official capacity as Sheriff of
18 the Sacramento County Sheriff's
19 Department; AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
20 INC.,

21 Defendants.

22 _____/
23 JAMES F. JOHNSON,

24 Plaintiff,

25 v.

CIV. NO. S-97-937 LKK/JFM

26 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; GLEN
CRAIG, individually and in his
official capacity as Sheriff of
the Sacramento County Sheriff's
Department; California Highway
Patrol (CHP) Officer KEITH
STRICKLAND; AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
INC.,

Defendants.

////

////

1 GENA DOMOGIO,

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

CIV. NO. S-98-834 LKK/JFM

4 AEDEC INTERNATIONAL,
5 INC.,

6 Defendant.

7 GENA DOMOGIO,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

CIV. NO. S-98-835 LKK/JFM

10 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO;
11 Sacramento County Sheriff's
12 Deputy AMANDA FERRIS (Badge #1129);
13 Sacramento County Sheriff's
14 Deputy RHEA PELSTER (Badge #1128);
15 Sacramento County Sheriff's
16 Deputy MICHELLE ALEX (Badge #796);
17 Sacramento County Sheriff's
18 Lieutenant DAVE LIND (Badge #63);
19 Sacramento County Sheriff's
20 Sergeant BRIAN KENNEDY (Badge #26),

21 Defendants.

22 BETHANY REBECCA ROSE,

23 Plaintiff,

24 v.

CIV. NO. S-98-2156 LKK/JFM

25 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO;
26 California Highway Patrol
Officer LANCE WARD (Badge #13561),
et al.,

Defendants.

//////

//////

//////

1 COURTNEY E. COUNTTEE, IV,

2 Plaintiff,

3 V.

CIV. NO. S-07-2560 LKK/DAD

4 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, LOU
5 BLANAS, MARK IWASA, DONALD
6 BLACK, SCOTT HAYES, RUSSELL
7 MUNN, KELLY LARA, NATE O'BRIEN,
8 PAUL LABANCE, MICHAEL KEEGAN,
9 JAMES CROUSON, WILLIAM STARR,
10 COURTNEY BARTILSON, FRANK
11 FERMER, CLAUDE TORREY,
12 JOSEPH REEVE, and DOES 1
13 through XXX,

14 Defendants.

15 _____/
16 BLAINE A. JACKSON,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

CIV. NO. S-07-2795 LKK/GGH

19 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO;
20 JOHN MCGINNESS, individually
21 and in his official capacity
22 as Sheriff of the Sacramento
23 County Sheriff's Department;
24 Sacramento Sheriff's Department
25 Deputy MATTHEW ATHEY (Bade #1324);
26 and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

RELATED CASE ORDER

20 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that
21 all thirteen (13) actions are related within the meaning of Local
22 Rule 83-123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve some of the
23 same parties, and are based on the same or similar claims, similar
24 questions of fact and the same question of law.

25 Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge
26 and magistrate judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of

1 judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the
2 parties.


3 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
4 Local Rule 83-123 merely has the result that these eleven (11)
5 actions are assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no
6 consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular
7 practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the
8 judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
9 assigned.

10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated CIV. NO.
11 S-07-2560 LKK/DAD and CIV. NO. S-07-2795 LKK/GGH be, and the same
12 hereby are, reassigned to Magistrate Judge John F. Moulds.
13 Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in these reassigned
14 cases shall be shown as CIV. NO. 07-2560 LKK/JFM and CIV. NO. 07-
15 2795 LKK/JFM.

16 Therefore, the status conference in CIV. S-07-2560 LKK/JFM is
17 continued to March 3, 2008 at 11:00 am to coincide with the status
18 conference in CIV. S-07-2795 LKK/JFM.

19 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
20 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
21 compensate for this reassignment.

22 DATED: January 18, 2008.

23
24 
25 LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
26 SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT